

FROM THE TETRAHEDRON EQUATION TO UNIVERSAL R-MATRICES

R. M. KASHAEV AND A. YU. VOLKOV

ABSTRACT. Modified universal R -matrices, associated with the central extension (through the Drinfeld's double construction) of the quantum groups $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$, are realized through an infinite dimensional spectral parameter dependent solution for the tetrahedron equation, provided a certain identity on q -exponentials holds true.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

The (quantum) Yang–Baxter equation (YBE) [25, 1] is well known to play the fundamental role in constructing and solving integrable models of two-dimensional statistical physics and quantum field theory [2, 10]. The tetrahedron equation (TE)[26] has been introduced as a three-dimensional analogue of the YBE. One of the features of the TE is the possibility to construct from one solution of it an infinite sequence of solutions of the YBE. This means that one integrable three-dimensional lattice model combines an infinite family of integrable two-dimensional models. A notable example is the Zamolodchikov–Baxter–Bazhanov (ZBB) three-dimensional lattice model [26, 3, 4]: on a cubic lattice, with one direction being periodic of finite length N , this model is equivalent to the two-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ chiral Potts model [5]. The field theoretical counterpart of the ZBB model is the three-dimensional bilinear Hirota equation [13] which, being considered on a lattice periodic in one direction with period N , can be interpreted as a discrete version of the affine $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ Toda field theory. The quantum theory of this system has been developed in [11, 12] and in [8] for the case $N = 2$, and subsequently in [17] for any N . Thus, the TE can be a powerful unifying tool for different integrable models in two dimensions.

In [6] few nontrivial examples of formal infinite dimensional solutions for the TE have been found. In the classical limit, when the deformation parameter q tends to unity, these solutions are related to (infinite dimensional) functional solutions for the TE [15, 23, 16], which in turn are related to solutions for the local YBE [19, 18] — the three-dimensional counterpart of the zero-curvature condition. Using one of the solutions from [6], Sergeev in [22] obtained a family of (spectral parameter dependent) formal infinite dimensional solutions for the YBE and interpreted them as specializations of the affine universal R -matrices.

The purpose of this paper is to uncover the three-dimensional nature of the quantum groups $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ as well as the corresponding universal R -matrices. First, we rigorously define a close (spectral parameter dependent) cousin of one of the solutions of [6] as a formal power series in the spectral parameter with operator

Date: December 1998.

Key words and phrases. Tetrahedron equation, quantum group, universal R -matrix.

coefficients acting in the space of Laurent polynomials of three indeterminates. We use this solution to compose a solution of the YBE as a three dimensional analogue of the transfer matrix of the size $N \times N \times N$. Then, assuming validity of a certain identity on q -exponentials, we identify this with the image of the centrally extended $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$ (the Drinfeld double of the Borel subalgebra) modified universal R -matrix under an infinite dimensional representation in the space of Laurent polynomials of N^2 indeterminates. This representation is conjectured to be faithful. A finite dimensional variant of such representation in the case of roots of unity first has been constructed by Tarasov in [24]. Restriction of this representation to the Borel subalgebra is known as Feigin's homomorphism [7] or free field representation [20]. The universal R -matrix itself is not well defined in this representation, since the power series expansion of the q -exponentials, which enter the structure of the universal R -matrix, do not truncate. We modify the definition of the universal R -matrix by introducing $N - 1$ fictitious spectral parameters and consider it as a generating function for operator coefficients of the power series expansion in these spectral parameters. It is this modified universal R -matrix the image of which we identify with our three dimensional construction.

We fix notation. Let q be an indeterminate, and let $A \equiv \text{End}(\mathbb{C}(q)[t, t^{-1}])$ be the algebra of linear operators in the space of Laurent polynomials in one indeterminate with coefficients from the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. By abuse of notation, we denote

$$A^{\otimes n} \equiv \text{End}(\mathbb{C}(q)[t, t^{-1}]^{\otimes n}) \equiv \text{End}(\mathbb{C}(q)[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}]),$$

and define similarly algebras $A^{\otimes m} \otimes A^{\otimes n}$ and $(A^{\otimes m})^{\otimes n}$.

Let B be any algebra. For any positive m define natural embeddings

$$\iota_i : B \ni b \mapsto 1 \otimes \dots \otimes b \otimes \dots \otimes 1 \in B^{\otimes m},$$

where element b in the r.h.s. stands on the i -th position. For a finite sequence of such embeddings

$$B \xrightarrow{\iota_{i_1}} B^{\otimes n_1} \xrightarrow{\iota_{i_2}} (B^{\otimes n_1})^{\otimes n_2} \xrightarrow{\iota_{i_3}} \dots \xrightarrow{\iota_{i_s}} (\dots (B^{\otimes n_1}) \dots)^{\otimes n_s}$$

we denote by

$$b_{i_s \dots i_2 i_1} \equiv \iota_{i_s \dots i_2 i_1}(b) \equiv \iota_{i_s} \circ \dots \circ \iota_{i_2} \circ \iota_{i_1}(b)$$

the image of element $b \in B$. In the notation for these embeddings we intentionally suppress any indication of the algebras involved since these will be clear in each concrete case from the context.

More generally, if $B_1, \dots, B_l$ are algebras, we shall write

$$b_{i_{11} \dots i_{1s_1}, \dots, i_{l1} \dots i_{ls_l}} \equiv \iota_{i_{11} \dots i_{1s_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \iota_{i_{l1} \dots i_{ls_l}}(b)$$

for any $b \in B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_l$.

We shall encounter products of noncommuting elements, so to fix the order we shall write

$$\prod_{i \uparrow_1^n} \alpha_i \equiv \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n, \quad \prod_{i \downarrow_1^n} \alpha_i \equiv \alpha_n \alpha_{n-1} \dots \alpha_1.$$

2. A SOLUTION FOR THE (SPECTRAL PARAMETER DEPENDENT) TETRAHEDRON EQUATION

Define elements $u, v \in A$:

$$u(f(t)) \equiv f(qt), \quad v(f(t)) \equiv tf(t), \quad \forall f(t) \in \mathbb{C}(q)[t, t^{-1}],$$

and element $F \in A^{\otimes 3}$:

$$(2.1) \quad F(f(t_1, t_2, t_3)) \equiv f(t_1 t_2 / t_3, t_3, t_2), \quad \forall f(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in (\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{q})[t, t^{-1}])^{\otimes 3}$$

Proposition 1. *Operators u , v , and F satisfy the following relations:*

$$(2.2) \quad uv = quv, \quad F^2 = 1,$$

$$u_1 F = F u_1, \quad u_2 F = F u_1 u_3, \quad v_1 v_2 F = F v_1 v_2, \quad v_2 F = F v_3,$$

$$(2.3) \quad F_{1,2,4} F_{1,3,5} F_{2,3,6} F_{4,5,6} = F_{4,5,6} F_{2,3,6} F_{1,3,5} F_{1,2,4}.$$

Proof. It is a straightforward verification. $\square$

Eqn (2.3) is called the (constant) TE and the operator F defined by eqn (2.1) is one of the simplest examples of functional solutions to the TE see [15, 23, 16]. Define now an invertible element $B^\times \in A^{\otimes 3}[[x]]$ in the algebra of formal power series with coefficients from $A^{\otimes 3}$:

$$(2.4) \quad B^\times = F\psi(xv_1 u_1^{-1} u_2 u_3^{-1} v_3^{-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k b_k,$$

where

$$\psi(x) \equiv (-x; q^2)_\infty^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^k}{(q^2; q^2)_k}, \quad b_k = F \frac{(-v_1 u_1^{-1} u_2 u_3^{-1} v_3^{-1})^k}{(q^2; q^2)_k} \in A^{\otimes 3},$$

with the standard notation in q -mathematics

$$(x; y)_k \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 - xy^i).$$

One can look at $B^\times$ as the generating function for the infinite sequence of operators b_k .

We shall use the following five-term identity satisfied by the ψ -function:

$$(2.5) \quad \psi(X)\psi(Y) = \psi(Y)\psi(YX)\psi(X)$$

where operators X and Y are such that $XY = q^2 YX$. Note that this identity is understood as an identity for formal power series in noncommuting quantities X and Y .

Proposition 2. *The following spectral parameter dependent TE in $A^{\otimes 6}[[x, y, z]]$ holds*

$$(2.6) \quad B_{1,2,4}^\times B_{1,3,5}^{\times z} B_{2,3,6}^y B_{4,5,6}^z = B_{4,5,6}^y B_{2,3,6}^z B_{1,3,5}^{\times y} B_{1,2,4}^\times.$$

Proof. Substituting definition (2.4) into eqn (2.6), one can cancel all the F -operators by first moving them to the left, using eqns (2.2), and then applying the TE (2.3). What remains is the following operator identity:

$$(2.7) \quad \psi(U)\psi(UW)\psi(V)\psi(W) = \psi(V)\psi(W)\psi(VU)\psi(U),$$

where operator combinations

$$U = xv_1 u_1^{-1} u_2 u_3^{-1} v_3^{-1}, \quad V = yv_2 u_2^{-1} u_3 u_4 u_5^{-1} v_5^{-1}, \quad W = zv_3 u_3^{-1} u_5 u_6^{-1} v_6^{-1}$$

satisfy relations

$$UV = q^2 VU, \quad VW = q^2 WV, \quad WU = q^2 UW.$$

The latter relations together with the five-term identity (2.5) imply eqn (2.7) through the following sequence of equalities (in each step the fragment to be transformed is underlined):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(U)\psi(UW)\psi(V)\psi(W) &= \psi(U)\psi(UW)\psi(W)\psi(WV)\psi(V) \\ &= \psi(W)\psi(U)\psi(WV)\psi(V) = \psi(W)\psi(WV)\psi(U)\psi(V) \\ &= \psi(W)\psi(WV)\psi(V)\psi(VU)\psi(U) = \psi(V)\psi(W)\psi(VU)\psi(U). \end{aligned}$$

□

Formally, at the special value of the spectral parameter $x = 1$ we obtain solution for the constant TE. Slightly different form of this solution first has been found in [6].

Note another special value of the spectral parameter when we get (trivial) constant solution $B^{x=0} = F$.

3. SOLUTION FOR THE YANG-BAXTER EQUATION

Fix a positive integer $N \geq 2$ and define $\tilde{B}^x \in ((A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes 2} \otimes A)[[x]]$, where $x \equiv (x_1, \dots, x_N)$,

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{B}^x = \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N} B_{1:i,2:i,3}^{x_i}.$$

In the rest of the paper all indices, taking values $1, \dots, N$, will be considered (mod N).

Proposition 3. *The following equation is satisfied in $((A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes 3} \otimes A^{\otimes 3})[[x, y]]$*

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{B}_{1,2,4}^x \tilde{B}_{1,3,5}^{x\tau(y)} \tilde{B}_{2,3,6}^y B_{4,5,6}^{y_N} = B_{4,5,6}^{y_N} \tilde{B}_{2,3,6}^{\tau(y)} \tilde{B}_{1,3,5}^{xy} \tilde{B}_{1,2,4}^x,$$

where $xy \equiv (x_1 y_1, \dots, x_N y_N)$ and $\tau(x) \equiv (x_N, x_1, \dots, x_{N-2}, x_{N-1})$.

Proof. Substituting definition (3.1) into the l.h.s. of eqn (3.2), we have the following sequence of equalities (the fragments eqn (2.6) to be applied to are underlined):

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{1,2,4}^x \tilde{B}_{1,3,5}^{x\tau(y)} \tilde{B}_{2,3,6}^y B_{4,5,6}^{y_N} &= \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N} (B_{1:i,2:i,4}^{x_i} B_{1:i,3:i,5}^{x_i y_{i-1}} B_{2:i,3:i,6}^{y_i}) B_{4,5,6}^{y_N} \\ &= \prod_{i \downarrow_2^N} (B_{1:i,2:i,4}^{x_i} B_{1:i,3:i,5}^{x_i y_{i-1}} B_{2:i,3:i,6}^{y_i}) \underline{B_{1:1,2:1,4}^{x_1} B_{1:1,3:1,5}^{x_1 y_1} B_{2:1,3:1,6}^{y_1} B_{4,5,6}^{y_N}} \\ &= \prod_{i \downarrow_3^N} (B_{1:i,2:i,4}^{x_i} B_{1:i,3:i,5}^{x_i y_{i-1}} B_{2:i,3:i,6}^{y_i}) \\ &\quad \times \underline{B_{1:2,2:2,4}^{x_2} B_{1:2,3:2,5}^{x_2 y_1} B_{2:2,3:2,6}^{y_2} B_{4,5,6}^{y_N} B_{2:1,3:1,6}^{y_N} B_{1:1,3:1,5}^{x_1 y_1} B_{1:1,2:1,4}^{x_1}} = \dots \\ &= B_{4,5,6}^{y_N} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N} (B_{2:i,3:i,6}^{y_{i-1}} B_{1:i,3:i,5}^{x_i y_i} B_{1:i,2:i,4}^{x_i}) = B_{4,5,6}^{y_N} \tilde{B}_{2,3,6}^{\tau(y)} \tilde{B}_{1,3,5}^{xy} \tilde{B}_{1,2,4}^x \end{aligned}$$

thus obtaining the r.h.s. of eqn (3.2). □

Operator $\tilde{B}^x$ can be considered as a three-dimensional analogue of the monodromy operator. The operator

$$(3.3) \quad R^x \equiv \text{Tr}_3 \tilde{B}^x \in (A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes 2}[[x]],$$

provided the trace does exist (see below), is then analogous to the transfer-matrix.

Corollary 1. *Operator $R^{\mathbf{x}}$ satisfies the following YBE*

$$R_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} R_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\tau(\mathbf{y})} R_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} = R_{2,3}^{\tau(\mathbf{y})} R_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} R_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}}.$$

This is multi spectral parameter YBE with unusual difference property. The usual difference property is achieved for the combination

$$\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N, j \uparrow_1^N} R_{1:i,2:j}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x})} \in ((A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes N})^{\otimes 2}[[\mathbf{x}]].$$

Proposition 4. *The following YBE is satisfied*

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}}$$

Proof. Operator $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{x}}$ can be represented as

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{x}} = \prod_{j \uparrow_1^N} \mathbf{M}_{1,2;j}^{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N} R_{1:i,2}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x})} \in ((A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes N}) \otimes (A^{\otimes N})[[\mathbf{x}]].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} &= \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N} \left(R_{1:i,2}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x})} R_{1:i,3}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})} \right) \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} \\ &= \prod_{i \downarrow_2^N} \left(R_{1:i,2}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x})} R_{1:i,3}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})} \right) \underline{R_{1:1,2}^{\tau(\mathbf{x})} R_{1:1,3}^{\tau(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})} \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}}} \\ &= \prod_{i \downarrow_3^N} \left(R_{1:i,2}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x})} R_{1:i,3}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})} \right) \underline{R_{1:2,2}^{\tau^2(\mathbf{x})} R_{1:2,3}^{\tau^2(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})} R_{2,3}^{\tau(\mathbf{y})} R_{1:1,3}^{\tau(\mathbf{x})} R_{1:1,2}^{\tau(\mathbf{x})}} = \dots \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N} \left(R_{1:i,3}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x}\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{y}))} R_{1:i,2}^{\tau^i(\mathbf{x})} \right) = \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{y})} \mathbf{M}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this identity together with formula (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} &= \prod_{i \uparrow_1^N} \mathbf{M}_{1,2;i}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \prod_{j \downarrow_1^N} R_{2;j,3}^{\tau^j(\mathbf{y})} \\ &= \prod_{i \uparrow_1^{N-1}} \mathbf{M}_{1,2;i}^{\mathbf{x}} \underline{\mathbf{M}_{1,2:N}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{2:N,3}^{\mathbf{y}}} \prod_{j \downarrow_1^{N-1}} R_{2;j,3}^{\tau^j(\mathbf{y})} \\ &= \mathbf{R}_{2:N,3}^{\mathbf{y}} \prod_{i \uparrow_1^{N-2}} \mathbf{M}_{1,2;i}^{\mathbf{x}} \underline{\mathbf{M}_{1,2:N-1}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{y})} R_{2:N-1,3}^{\tau^{-1}(\mathbf{y})}} \prod_{j \downarrow_1^{N-2}} R_{2;j,3}^{\tau^j(\mathbf{y})} \mathbf{M}_{1,2:N}^{\mathbf{x}} = \dots \\ &= \prod_{j \downarrow_1^N} R_{2;j,3}^{\tau^j(\mathbf{y})} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \prod_{i \uparrow_1^N} \mathbf{M}_{1,2;i}^{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{M}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies eqn (3.4):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} &= \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} \prod_{i \uparrow_1^N} (\mathbf{M}_{1,3;i}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{2,3;i}^{\mathbf{y}}) = \underline{\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3:1}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{2,3:1}^{\mathbf{y}}} \prod_{i \uparrow_2^N} (\mathbf{M}_{1,3;i}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{2,3;i}^{\mathbf{y}}) \\ &= \mathbf{M}_{2,3:1}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3:1}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \underline{\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3:2}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{2,3:2}^{\mathbf{y}}} \prod_{i \uparrow_3^N} (\mathbf{M}_{1,3;i}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{2,3;i}^{\mathbf{y}}) = \dots \\ &= \prod_{i \uparrow_1^N} (\mathbf{M}_{2,3;i}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{M}_{1,3:i}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}}) \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{R}_{2,3}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{1,3}^{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. CONNECTION WITH A UNIVERSAL R -MATRIX

We evaluate explicitly the case given by the following choice of the multi spectral parameter $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)$.

4.1. Calculation of the operator $R^{\mathbf{x}}$. We shall find it convenient to denote

$$w \equiv vu, \quad \tilde{w} \equiv vu^{-1}.$$

Proposition 5. *If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)$, the operator $R^{\mathbf{x}}$ defined by (3.3) has the following explicit form*

$$(4.1) \quad R^{(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)} = G \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(x_i \tilde{w}_{1:i} u_{1:i-1} u_{2:i} w_{2:i-1}^{-1}),$$

where operator $G \in (A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes 2}$ is defined by

$$(4.2) \quad H(f(\dots, t_{1:i}, \dots, t_{2:j}, \dots)) = f(\dots, t_{1:i} t_{2:i} / t_{2:i+1}, \dots, t_{2:j+1}, \dots)$$

Proof. First, note that the operator F defined in eqn (2.1) can be factorized in the form:

$$F = S_{1,3}^{-1} P_{2,3} S_{1,3},$$

where $S, P \in A^{\otimes 2}$ are defined by

$$S(f(t_1, t_2)) = f(t_1 t_2, t_2), \quad P(f(t_1, t_2)) = f(t_2, t_1).$$

Operator S satisfies relations:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1,2} S_{1,3} &= S_{1,3} S_{1,2}, & S_{1,3} S_{2,3} &= S_{2,3} S_{1,3}, \\ S u_1 &= u_1 S, & S v_1 &= v_1 S, & S u_1 u_2 &= u_2 S, & S v_2 &= v_2 S, \end{aligned}$$

and we have the following identity for the partial trace of the operator P :

$$\text{Tr}_2 P = 1_A \in A.$$

Now we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)} &= \text{Tr}_3 S_{1:N,3}^{-1} P_{2:N,3} S_{1:N,3} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} B_{1:i,2:i,3}^{x_i} \\ &= \text{Tr}_3 S_{1:N,3}^{-1} S_{1:N,2:N} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} B_{1:i,2:i,2:N}^{x_i} P_{2:N,3} = S_{1:N,2:N} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} B_{1:i,2:i,2:N}^{x_i} S_{1:N,2:N}^{-1} \\ &= S_{1:N,2:N} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} F_{1:i,2:i,2:N} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j \downarrow_2^{N-1}} \left(\prod_{k \uparrow_1^{j-1}} F_{1:k,2:k,2:N}^{-1} \psi(x_j \tilde{w}_{1:j} u_{2:j} w_{2:N}^{-1}) \prod_{l \downarrow_1^{j-1}} F_{1:l,2:l,2:N} \right) \\ &\quad \times \psi(x_1 \tilde{w}_{1:1} u_{2:1} w_{2:N}^{-1}) S_{1:N,2:N}^{-1} \\ &= S_{1:N,2:N} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} F_{1:i,2:i,2:N} S_{1:N,2:N}^{-1} \prod_{j \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(x_j \tilde{w}_{1:j} u_{1:j-1} u_{2:j} w_{2:j-1}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we come to formula (4.1), where

$$G = S_{1:N, 2:N} \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} F_{1:i, 2:i, 2:N} S_{1:N, 2:N}^{-1} = \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} P_{2:i, 2:N} \prod_{j=1}^N (S_{1:j, 2:j}^{-1} S_{1:j, 2:j-1}),$$

and it is easy to check up eqn (4.2). $\square$

Using the cyclicity of the trace it is easy to generalize this result.

Corollary 2. *If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)$,*

$$(4.3) \quad R^{\tau^j(\mathbf{x})} = G \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(x_i \tilde{w}_{1:i+j} u_{1:i+j-1} u_{2:i+j} w_{2:i+j-1}^{-1}),$$

where the running indices are considered modulo N .

In what follows we shall use the relations

$$\begin{aligned} G u_{1:i} &= u_{1:i} G, & G v_{1:i} &= v_{1:i} v_{2:i} v_{2:i+1}^{-1} G \\ G u_{2:i} &= u_{2:i+1} u_{1:i} u_{1:i+1}^{-1} G, & G v_{2:i} &= v_{2:i+1} G, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, N \pmod{N}$, which can be deduced from the definition of the operator G .

4.2. Calculation of the operator $R^{\mathbf{x}}$. Introduce more notation:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{\langle i, j \rangle} &\equiv \prod_{k \downarrow_i^N, l \uparrow_1^j} G_{1:k, 2:l} \in ((A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes N})^{\otimes 2}, \\ e_{i, j} &\equiv \tilde{w}_{j:i+j} u_{j:i+j-1} \prod_{k=j}^N (u_{k:i+k}^2 u_{k:i+k-1}^{-1} u_{k:i+k+1}^{-1}) \in (A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes N}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.5) \quad f_{i, j} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^j (v_{k:i} v_{k:i+1}^{-1}) u_{j:i+1} w_{j:i}^{-1} \in (A^{\otimes N})^{\otimes N}.$$

Proposition 6. *If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)$, operator $R^{\mathbf{x}}$ have the following form*

$$(4.6) \quad R^{\mathbf{x}} = \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N, j \uparrow_1^N} \left(\prod_{k \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(x_k e_{k, i} \otimes f_{k, j}) \right) G,$$

where $G \equiv G_{\langle 1, N \rangle}$ acts as follows

$$(4.7) \quad G(f(\dots, t_{1:i, j}, \dots, t_{2:k, l}, \dots)) = f(\dots, t_{1:i, j} \prod_{m=1}^N \frac{t_{2:m, j-i}}{t_{2:m, j-i+1}}, \dots, t_{2:k, l}, \dots).$$

Proof. First, calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\langle i,j \rangle} \tilde{w}_{1:i:k+i} u_{1:i:k+i-1} &= G_{\langle i+1,j \rangle} \prod_{l \uparrow_1^j} G_{1:i,2:l} \tilde{w}_{1:i:k+i} u_{1:i:k+i-1} \\
&= G_{\langle i+1,j \rangle} \tilde{w}_{1:i:k+i} u_{1:i:k+i-1} \prod_{m=1}^j (v_{2:m:k+i} v_{2:m:k+i+1}^{-1}) \prod_{l \uparrow_1^j} G_{1:i,2:l} \\
&= \tilde{w}_{1:i:k+i} u_{1:i:k+i-1} \prod_{m=1}^j (v_{2:m:k} v_{2:m:k+1}^{-1}) G_{\langle i,j \rangle},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\langle i,j \rangle} u_{2:j:k+i} w_{2:j:k+i-1}^{-1} &= G_{\langle i,j-1 \rangle} \prod_{l \downarrow_i^N} G_{1:l,2:j} u_{2:j:k+i} w_{2:j:k+i-1}^{-1} \\
&= G_{\langle i,j-1 \rangle} u_{2:j:k+1} w_{2:j:k}^{-1} \prod_{m=i}^N (u_{1:m:k+m}^2 u_{1:m:k+m-1}^{-1} u_{1:m:k+m+1}^{-1}) \prod_{l \downarrow_i^N} G_{1:l,2:j} \\
&= u_{2:j:k+1} w_{2:j:k}^{-1} \prod_{m=i}^N (u_{1:m:k+m}^2 u_{1:m:k+m-1}^{-1} u_{1:m:k+m+1}^{-1}) G_{\langle i,j \rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R^x &= \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N, j \uparrow_1^N} \left(G_{1:i,2:j} \prod_{k \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(x_k \tilde{w}_{1:i:k+i} u_{1:i:k+i-1} u_{2:j:k+i} w_{2:j:k+i-1}^{-1}) \right) \\
&= \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N, j \uparrow_1^N} \left(\prod_{k \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(G_{\langle i,j \rangle} x_k \tilde{w}_{1:i:k+i} u_{1:i:k+i-1} u_{2:j:k+i} w_{2:j:k+i-1}^{-1} G_{\langle i,j \rangle}^{-1}) \right) G \\
&= \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N, j \uparrow_1^N} \left(\prod_{k \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(x_k e_{k,i} \otimes f_{k,j}) \right) G.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 7. *Operators defined by eqns (4.4), (4.5) satisfy the following commutation relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad e_{i+1,k} e_{i,k} &= q e_{i,k} e_{i+1,k}, \quad f_{i+1,k} f_{i,k} = q f_{i,k} f_{i+1,k}; \\
e_{i,k} e_{j,l} &= q^{(1 \pm 3)/2} e_{j,l} e_{i,k}, \quad f_{i,l} f_{j,k} = q^{(1 \pm 3)/2} f_{j,k} f_{i,l}, \quad k < l, \quad |i-j| = (1 \mp 1)/2; \\
(4.9) \quad e_{i,k} f_{j,k} &= q^{\pm 2} f_{j,k} e_{i,k}, \quad j = k+i - (1 \mp 1)/2;
\end{aligned}$$

all other pairs being commutative, and the quadratic constraints

$$(4.10) \quad e_{i,k+1} f_{j,k+1} = f_{j,k} e_{i,k}, \quad k = j-i \pmod{N}.$$

Proof. It is a straightforward verification. □

4.3. Realization of a Hopf algebra. Let $a_{ij} \equiv 2\delta_{ij} - \delta_{|i-j|,1}$ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ Cartan matrix. Following [9, 14], consider a Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}$ over $\mathbb{C}(q)$, generated by elements $\{K_i, L_i, E_i, F_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ subject to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} [K_i, K_j] &= [L_i, L_j] = [K_i, L_j] = 0, \\ K_i E_j &= q^{a_{ij}} E_j K_i, \quad K_i F_j = q^{-a_{ij}} F_j K_i, \\ L_i E_j &= q^{-a_{ij}} E_j L_i, \quad L_i F_j = q^{a_{ij}} F_j L_i, \\ [E_i, F_j] &= \delta_{ij}(1 - q^2)(K_i - L_i), \\ E_i E_j^2 + E_j^2 E_i &= (q + q^{-1}) E_j E_i E_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\ F_i F_j^2 + F_j^2 F_i &= (q + q^{-1}) F_j F_i F_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1, \\ [E_i, E_j] &= [F_i, F_j] = 0 \quad \text{if } |i - j| > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we use an unusual normalization for the generators. The coproducts are

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(K_i) &= K_i \otimes K_i, \quad \Delta(L_i) = L_i \otimes L_i, \\ \Delta(E_i) &= K_i \otimes E_i + E_i \otimes 1, \quad \Delta(F_i) = 1 \otimes F_i + F_i \otimes L_i. \end{aligned}$$

Central elements $Z_i \equiv K_i L_i$ generate a Hopf subalgebra. Factorization w.r.t. relations $Z_i = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, N-1$ gives the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_N)$. We construct a representation of the algebra $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathbb{C}(q)[t, t^{-1}]^{\otimes N^2}$, where $Z_i \neq 1$.

Theorem 1. *The following mapping of the generating elements:*

$$(4.11) \quad E_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^N e_{i,j}, \quad F_i \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^N f_{i,j}, \quad K_i \mapsto e_{i,1} f_{i,1}, \quad L_i \mapsto f_{i,N} e_{i,N}$$

extends to an algebra homomorphism $\kappa: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow A^{\otimes N^2}$

Proof. It is a straightforward checking of the defining relations of the algebra $\mathcal{U}$ by using relations (4.8)–(4.10). $\square$

Conjecture 1. The homomorphism κ defined by eqns (4.11) is faithful.

According to the results of [7], the restriction of our homomorphism is a particular case of Feigin's homomorphism, and it is faithful.

4.4. The universal R -matrix. Certain completion $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{U}$ is the Drinfeld double of its' Hopf subalgebra, so it admits a universal R -matrix [9]. To describe the latter define the q -analogues of root vectors $\{E_{ij}, F_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{i,i+1} &\equiv E_i, \quad F_{i,i+1} \equiv F_i, \\ E_{i,j+1} &\equiv \frac{E_j E_{i,j} - q E_{i,j} E_j}{1 - q^2}, \quad F_{i,j+1} \equiv \frac{F_j F_{i,j} - q F_{i,j} F_j}{1 - q^2}, \quad i < j, \end{aligned}$$

and Cartan elements H_i and $\tilde{H}_i$ through the equations

$$K_i = q^{H_i}, \quad L_i = q^{\tilde{H}_i}.$$

The universal R -matrix then has the form [21]:

$$(4.12) \quad \mathcal{R} = \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \prod_{j \downarrow_{i+1}^N} \psi(E_{ij} \otimes F_{ij}) q^T,$$

where

$$\mathsf{T} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \bar{a}_{ij} \mathsf{H}_i \otimes \tilde{\mathsf{H}}_j$$

with $\bar{a}_{ij}$ being the inverse $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ Cartan matrix. Element (4.12) makes sense only for highest weight finite dimensional representations of the algebra $\mathcal{U}$. The representation defined by eqns (4.11) is neither finite dimensional nor highest weight, so the universal R -matrix in the form (4.12) is not well defined object. Nevertheless, there is a slight modification of the definition, when the image of the universal R -matrix makes sense in the κ -representation. This modification follows.

Let $\rho_{\mathbf{x}}$, where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, 0)$, be the automorphism of $\mathcal{U}$ defined on the generators by the formulas:

$$\mathsf{K}_i \mapsto \mathsf{K}_i, \quad \mathsf{L}_i \mapsto \mathsf{L}_i, \quad \mathsf{E}_i \mapsto x_i \mathsf{E}_i, \quad \mathsf{F}_i \mapsto x_i^{-1} \mathsf{F}_i.$$

Note that this automorphism is inner one. Indeed, we have

$$\rho_{\mathbf{x}}(\cdot) = \xi_{\mathbf{x}}(\cdot) \xi_{\mathbf{x}}^{-1}, \quad \xi_{\mathbf{x}} = \prod_{i,j=1}^{N-1} x_i^{\bar{a}_{ij} \mathsf{H}_j}.$$

Denote

$$(4.13) \quad \mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv (\rho_{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}).$$

This element satisfies the multi spectral parameter dependent YBE. But the spectral parameters here are fictitious, since they can be removed by a similarity transformation. Their role is auxiliary: we can look at $\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{x}}$ as a generating function for the coefficients of the power series expansion in $x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}$.

Conjecture 2. The following identity holds true

$$(4.14) \quad \prod_{i \downarrow_1^N, j \uparrow_1^N} \prod_{k \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \psi(x_k \mathbf{e}_{k,i} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{k,j}) = \prod_{i \downarrow_1^{N-1}} \prod_{j \downarrow_{i+1}^N} \psi(X_{i,j} \kappa(\mathsf{E}_{i,j}) \otimes \kappa(\mathsf{F}_{i,j})),$$

where

$$X_{i,j} \equiv \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} x_k.$$

We have verified this identity for few small N .

Theorem 2. Assume that formula (4.14) is true. Then formula $\kappa \otimes \kappa(\mathcal{R}^{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{x}}$ holds as a formal power series equality.

Proof. Equating formula (4.6) to (4.13) with substitutions (4.11), and using the fact that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{q}^T$, we arrive at eqn (4.14). $\square$

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank L.D. Faddeev for stimulating discussions and encouragement in this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baxter, R.J.: Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model. *Ann. Phys.* **70** (1972) 193–228
- [2] Baxter, R.J.: Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics. Academic Press, New York, 1982
- [3] Bazhanov, V.V., Baxter, R.J.: New solvable lattice models in three dimensions. *J. Stat. Phys.* **69** (1992) 453–85
- [4] Bazhanov, V.V., Baxter, R.J.: Star-triangle relation for a three-dimensional model. *J. Stat. Phys.* **71** (1992) 839–64
- [5] Bazhanov, V.V., Kashaev, R.M., Mangazeev, V.V., Stroganov, Yu.G.: $\mathbb{Z}_N^{n-1}$ generalization of the chiral Potts model. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **138** (1991) 393–408
- [6] Bazhanov, V.V., Sergeev, S.M., Mangazeev, V.V.: Quantum Dilogarithm and the Tetrahedron Equation. preprint IHEP 95-141, 1995
- [7] Berenstein, A.: Group-like elements in quantum groups and Feigin’s conjecture, q-alg/9605016
- [8] Bobenko, A., Kutz, N., Pinkal, U.: The discrete quantum pendulum. *Phys. Lett. A* **177** (1993) 399–404
- [9] Drinfeld, V.G.: Quantum Groups. *Proc. of ICM*, Berkeley 1987, 798–820
- [10] Faddeev, L.D.: Quantum completely integrable models in field theory. *Sov. Sci. Rev.* **C1** (1980) 107–55
- [11] Faddeev, L.D., Volkov, A.Yu.: Quantum inverse scattering method on a space-time lattice. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **92** (1992) 207–14
- [12] Faddeev, L.D., Volkov, A.Yu.: Hirota equation as an example of integrable symplectic map. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **32** (1994), 125–36, hep-th/9405087
- [13] Hirota, R.: Discrete analogue of a generalized Toda equation. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **50**(11) (1981) 3785–91
- [14] Jimbo, M.: A q -Difference Analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang–Baxter Equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** (1985) 63–9
- [15] Kashaev, R.M.: On discrete three-dimensional equations associated with the local Yang–Baxter relation. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **35** (1996) 389–97, solv-int/9512005
- [16] Kashaev, R.M., Korepanov, I.G., Sergeev, S.M.: Functional Tetrahedron Equation. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **117**(3) (1998) 370–84, solv-int/9801015
- [17] Kashaev, R.M., Reshetikhin, N.Yu.: Affine Toda field theory as a 3-dimensional integrable system. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **188** (1997) 251–66, hep-th/9507065
- [18] Maillet, J.M.: Integrable systems and gauge theories. *Nucl. Phys.* (Proc. Suppl.) **18B** (1990) 212–41
- [19] Maillet, J.M., Nijhoff, F.W.: Integrability for multidimensional lattice models. *Phys. Lett. B* **224** (1989) 389–96
- [20] Morozov, A., Vinet, L.: Free-field representation of group element for simple quantum group. Preprint ITEP-M3/94, CRM-2202, hep-th/9409093
- [21] Rosso, M.: An Analogue of P. B. W. Theorem and the Universal R -Matrix for $U_h sl(N+1)$. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **124** (1989) 307–18
- [22] Sergeev, S.M.: Two-dimensional R -matrices — decendants of three-dimensional R -matrices. *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **12**(19) (1997) 1393–410
- [23] Sergeev, S.M.: Solutions of the functional tetrahedron equation connected with the local Yang–Baxter equation for the ferro-electric. solv-int/9709006
- [24] Tarasov, V.O.: Cyclic monodromy matrices for $sl(n)$ trigonometric R -matrices. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **158**(3) (1993) 459–83
- [25] Yang, C.N.: Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967) 1312–4
- [26] Zamolodchikov, A.B.: Tetrahedron Equations and the Relativistic S -Matrix of Straight Strings in $2+1$ Dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **79** (1981) 489–505

HELSINKI INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS

Current address: St. Petersburg Steklov Mathematical Institute

E-mail address: `kashaev@pdmi.ras.ru`

HELSINKI INSTITUTE OF PHYSICS

E-mail address: `volkov@rock.helsinki.fi`